

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—It is not proper for the Hon'ble Member to ask the Chair to sit down. He must wait till I sit down.

I shall complete my ruling by saying that I find no discrepancy between the two sets of words and it cannot be the basis for a privilege motion unless there is specific and positive *prima facie* evidence to show that the Minister wanted to mislead the House and for that purpose, he has made deliberately a false statement.

I do not find any distinction between 'town hall building' and 'town hall building premises' as explained by the order itself. I therefore hold that there is no *prima facie* case of breach of privilege. I therefore cannot give my consent.

**Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.**—I do not question your ruling, Sir. I only submit that the matter of interpretation of law is the duty of the judiciary and the Speaker can give his ruling based on facts presented.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—I must say I do not find any *prima facie* case.

**Sri K. H. PATIL.**—Whenever a ruling is to be given particularly ruling on a point of order, the Speaker raises his hand on the side of the Indira Gandhi Group and thereby he curtails the right of the member. There is no rule wherein the Speaker shall always raise his hand and curtail the rights of Members. Therefore, I am requesting you to point out the rule under which you can stand while giving the ruling because this will certainly curtail our rights, Sir.

**Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.**—I want to ask the Speaker whether there is any group recognised in this House as 'Indira Gandhi Group'.

**Sri K. H. PATIL.**—I correct myself as Congress (R).

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—I do not know whether he has fully studied the Rules. There are several rules. Rule 298 is an instance in point. There is no point of order.

### Presentation of Petitions

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Sri Kalmankar to present a petition.

The Member is absent. Now Sri V. N. Patil to present a petition.

**Sri V. N. PATIL (Humnabad).**—With the permission of Chair, I beg to present the petition sent by Sri Chokkalingam, the General Secretary of the T.B.P. work charged Establishment, Munirabad.

I also present another petition from Sri M. C. Mulgund of the Work Charged Employees, Mysore P.W.D., Belgaum.

This petition pertains to more than 10,000 people and with the permission of the House I would like to read, Sir.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—There is no practice to read them here. The petition stands referred to the Committee on Petition.

Hon. Members are aware that today is the last day on which debate has to be concluded. I request the hon. Members to co-operate with the Chair in order to see that the debate is completed today. Hon. Chief Minister may take an hour for replying to the debate. Three hours time is therefore available for debate. Members should not take more than ten minutes at the maximum.

**Motion to consider the situation arising out of the move of the Central Government in respect of the Mahajan Commission Report deviating for the Recommendations to the detriment of the Mysore State.**

(*Debate continued*)

ಶ್ರೀ ಹೆಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ (ಹರಿಹರ).—ಸಾಮ್ಯ, ಈ ವಿಷಯ ಬಹಳ ಮಹತ್ತರವಾದುದು ಎನ್ನುವ ದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ಬಿಡ್ಡಿಟ್ಟನ ಮೇಲೆನ ಚರ್ಚೆಯಿನನ್ನು ಬಿಗೆರ್ಪಾತ್ತಿ ಇದನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿ ದಾಗಿದೆ. ಇಂತಹ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ 5 ನಿಮಿಷ, ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಹತ್ತು ನಿಮಿಷ ಮಾತನಾಡಿ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರೆ ನಂಂಗಂತೂ ಅದು ಅರ್ಥವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ವಿಷಯದ ಮೇಲೆ ಮಾತಾನಾಡುವಾಗ ಅವಕಾಶ ಇರಬೇಕು, ಬೇಕಾದರೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಬಿಡ್ಡಿಟ್ಟು ದಿಮ್ಮಾಂದುಗಳ ಬಗೆ ಚರ್ಚೆಗೆ ಅವಕಾಶನ್ನು ಕಡಿಮೆ ಮಾಡಿ. ಇಲ್ಲವೇ ಏಲಾ ದಿಮ್ಮಾಂದುಗಳನ್ನು ಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಗೆಲೆಚಿನ್ನೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಪಾನು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು. ನಾನು ಹೇಳುವುದು ಇದರ ಮೇಲೆ ಮಾತಾನಾಡುವಾಗ ನರಿಯಾಗಿದೆ ಇಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇದರ ಬಗೆ ನಂಬಣಿ ವಾಗಿ ತೀಮಾನವಾಗಬೇಕು. ಈ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ನಾವೇ ನೋಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇತರ ಪ್ರಾಂತಗಳವರು ಯಾವ ರೀತಿ ಇಲ್ಲ ತೀಮಾನವ ಮಂಡಿತಾರ್ಥಿ ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಯಲು ಕಾತಾರಾಗಿದಾರ್ಥಿ. ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಎನ್ನ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ ಅದನ್ನು ದೇಶದ ಎಲ್ಲ ಜನರೂ ತಿಳಿಯಲು ಅತುರಾಗಿದಾರೆ. ನದನ್ಯರು ಇಲ್ಲ ಮಾತಾದವಾಗ ಅವರ ಸೇರಿ ನಾರ್ಯಾಟನ್‌ಫಾರ್ಯಾಕ್ಸೆನ್ ಅಗ್ನವ ಹಾಗೆ ಮಾತಾದಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಇರಬೇಕು. ನಮ್ಮ ತಕ್ಷದವರಿಗೇ ಆಗಲೇ ಇಲ್ಲ ಬೇರೆ ತಕ್ಷದವರಿಗೇ ಆಗಲ ಅವರು ಮಾತಾದಲು ನಾಕಷ್ಟು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ತಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಥ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Hon. Member must see that everything has got to be done within the limited time at our disposal. We started the debate with the object of completing it within one day. Now today is the third day and the debate is prolonged like that. The House yesterday agreed that the debate should be over today and there should be sanctity to the understanding arrived at. If hon. Members were to avoid repetition and speak relevantly, I do not think even 10 minutes are needed.

[MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER in the Chair]

† ಶ್ರೀ ಎರ್. ಶ್ರೀಕಂಠ ಸ್ಯಾ (ನಂಬಣಗೂಡು).—ಸಾಮ್ಯ, ಕಾಲ ಅತಿ ಕಡಿಮೆ ಇರುವ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಇಷ್ಟು ಮಹತ್ತರವಾದ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಹತ್ತು ನಿಮಿಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾತಾದಬೇಕು ಎಂದರೆ ಅದು ಬಹುಕಷ್ಟದ ಕೆಲಸ. ಅದರೂ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಆಜ್ಞೆಗೆ ಒಳಪಟ್ಟು ನಾಧ್ಯವಾದ ಮಾಂಗಿಗೂ ಇರುವ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ನಾನು ಇಲ್ಲ ಮಾತನಾಡಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನದುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಇದರ ಕಾರಿತ್ಯಕ ಹಿನ್ನಲ್ಲಿ ಬಗೆ ಈಗ ಮಾತಾದುವುದು ಅನವಶ್ಯಕ. ಗಡಿಯ ಹಿಂದೆ ಕೆಲವು ವಿಷಯಗಳು ನನ್ನ